

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
02/12/2002	John P. Stein	SteinCinch-PA	7472		
590 09/26/2003					
ALBERT W. WATKINS		EXAMINER			
MN 56374		ELKINS, GARY E			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3727			
		DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	5		
	02/12/2002 590 09/26/2003 WATKINS AVENUE	02/12/2002 John P. Stein 590 09/26/2003 WATKINS AVENUE	02/12/2002 John P. Stein SteinCinch-PA 590 09/26/2003 WATKINS AVENUE MN 56374 ELKINS, C ART UNIT 3727		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1				
~		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Assistan Communication	10/075,068		STEIN, JOHN P.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Gary E. Elki		3727		
The MAILING Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence address		
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS fit is a fixed for reply specified for reply sixed for reply is specified for reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 rom the mailing date of this communication. ecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing street. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event within the statuto ill apply and will e cause the applica	, however, may a reply be time ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONED	will be considered timety. he mailing date of this communication. o (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action i	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	.	с х ране ч иа	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	03 O.G. 213.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u>	o is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s)	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requi	irement.			
Application Papers						
· ·	tion is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.	•					
•	ment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	n-(d) or (f).		
• /	Some * c) None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	ned detailed Office action for a list of					
•	ent is made of a claim for domestic	•				
	slation of the foreign language pro ent is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment(s)			_			
	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) 'atent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: I. Fig. 3; II. Fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,068 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Gary E. Elkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

gee

23 September 2003